UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,500	09/15/2006	Anuradha Mehta	19025.053	7519
20583 JONES DAY	I EXAMINER			
222 EAST 41S			GODDARD, LAURA B	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/579,500	MEHTA ET AL.				
omec Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication ann	LAURA B. GODDARD	orrespondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 May 2006</u> .					
· <u> </u>	· 					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 1642

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-27 and 49-52, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of determining whether a candidate compound modulates gene expression comprising providing a compound and a reporter gene system, wherein said reporter gene linked to an untranslated region comprising SEQ ID NO:1; and detecting expression of said reporter gene in said system, wherein expression of said reporter gene is altered relative to expression of a reporter gene not linked to an untranslated region comprising SEQ ID NO:1.

Group II, claim(s) 28-31, drawn to the special technical feature of a cell line comprising a reporter gene linked to an untranslated region comprising SEQ ID NO:1.

Group III, claim(s) 32-43, drawn to the special technical feature of a hybrid comprising a nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO:1 and a compound wherein said compound is capable of inhibiting expression of a reporter gene linked to said nucleic

acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO:1 relative to expression of reporter gene not linked to a nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO:1.

Group IV, claim(s) 44, drawn to the special technical feature of a hybrid of compound and nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO:1, wherein said compound is capable of preferentially binding said nucleic acid molecule relative to nucleic acid molecule not comprising SEQ ID NO:1.

Group V, claim(s) 45-48, drawn to the special technical feature of a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule comprising between 95% and 99% sequence identity with a nucleic acid molecule of SEQ ID NO:1, a fragment thereof, or a complement of either.

Group VI, claim(s) 53-54, drawn to the special technical feature of a substantially purified polypeptide characterized by (a)-(d) of claim 53.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I-VI appears to be a nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO:1.

However, said technical feature does <u>not</u> constitute a special technical feature in view of US Patent 6,528,060, Nicolette, filed March 16, 2000, issued March 4, 2003.

Nicolette teaches SEQ ID NO:1, which is a nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO:1 of the instant application (see sequence search result # 4, issued patents database, "20080607_135319_us-10-579-500-1.rni").

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-VI does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, Groups I-VI are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general incentive concept and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

SPECIES ELECTIONS

Species Election for Group I

A. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of cell are as follows: MCF-7 (claim 15) or BT474 (claim 17 and 52).

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1 and 49.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each cell type is physiologically distinct.

B. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of compound are as follows: nucleic acid molecule (claim 40),

quinazoline (claims 41), quinoline (claim 41), inidazolopyridine (claim 42), or

indazole (claim 43).

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 32.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding

special technical features for the following reasons: each compound is structurally and

functionally distinct.

Species Election for Group V

C. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of gene are as follows: Mdm-2, Ship-2, Estrogen receptor-alpha,

S-AdoMet, CCAAT/Enhancer-binding protein-alpha, or CCAAT/Enhancer-binding

protein-beta (claims 46 and 48).

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 45 and 47.

Art Unit: 1642

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each gene is structurally and functionally distinct.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Art Unit: 1642

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 7

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Application/Control Number: 10/579,500 Page 8

Art Unit: 1642

sommensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA B. GODDARD whose telephone number is (571)272-8788. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,500 Page 9

Art Unit: 1642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Laura B Goddard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642